## STUDY PERFORMANCE REPORT

State: Michigan
Study No.: $\underline{230692}$
Project No.: _ F-80-R-8
Title: Influence of total length and condition at stocking on Chinook salmon survival and time at large.

Period Covered: __October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007

Study Objectives: There are six main objectives identified for this project. 1) To evaluate the influence of the total length of stocked Chinook salmon on post-stocking survival. 2) To evaluate the influence of total length of Chinook salmon at stocking on the age and size of fish returning to spawn. 3) To evaluate the cost per return of small versus large stocked Chinook salmon. 4) To evaluate the influence of condition on survival of Chinook salmon stocked at the same size. 5) To evaluate the influences of high and low condition on the return size and age of Chinook salmon stocked at similar sizes. 6) To determine the cost per return of Chinook salmon at two condition levels.

Summary: Fish for this study have been stocked for six years, 2001-2006. Funding to mark fish in 2007 was unavailable so that planting will not be evaluated. The portion of the study evaluating the condition of Chinook salmon at stocking has not yet been initiated due to delays in hatchery renovations at the Thompson hatchery and the need to work out appropriate rearing techniques to complete this objective. Returns of tagged fish from the size-at-stocking evaluation are increasing; four year-classes have fully entered the fishery. At the time of completion of this report, 4,564 fish have been returned from the recreational fishery.

Findings: Jobs 1 through 4 were scheduled for 2006-07, and progress is reported below.
Job 1. Title: Stock Fish.-Study fish have been stocked into Lake Michigan and Lake Huron tributaries for six years, 2001 through 2006 (Table 1). Funding to mark fish stocked in 2007 was not available so I will be unable to evaluate returns from that planting. Fish quality assessments have been conducted on each treatment prior to stocking, and data are being compiled for evaluation.

Job 2. Title: Recover tags. -A total of 4,564 tagged study fish have been returned from the recreational fishery during 2001 through 2007 (Table 2). In 2002, over 76 percent of these were larger fish planted from the Wolf Lake hatchery. A similar yet less pronounced pattern was observed in 2003, when 63 percent of the fish returned were from Wolf Lake hatchery. In 2004, the pattern changed to nearly equal returns from each hatchery and the pattern began to reverse in 2005, with only 45 percent of returns from Wolf Lake hatchery fish (Table 2). In 2006 and 2007, returns of Platte River fish appear to be increasing relative to Wolf Lake fish. By 2007, Platte River fish comprised 63 percent of returns. The majority of the fish returned were stocked at Medusa Creek and returns from this site were nearly 3.5 times higher than other stocking locations. The greatest number of salmon heads were returned from the Charlevoix, Manistee, Grand Haven, Ludington, Rogers City, Frankfort and South Haven fisheries in Lake Michigan ( $\mathrm{N}=854,564,447,414,310,305$ and 235 fish).

Job 3. Title: Analyze data on length.-The lengths of 40-60 randomly sampled fish were obtained within 7 d prior to stocking. Lengths were to be obtained from each hatchery at each stocking
location. Samples were not collected at all facilities and sites (Table 3). Fish from Wolf Lake on average tended to be longer than fish from the Platte River Hatchery, and pen-reared fish were longer than direct-plant fish.

Job 4. Title: Analyze data on condition.-Dry weights were obtained from a random sample of 4060 fish collected within 7 d prior to stocking from each hatchery at each stocking location. Percent water provides an indication of the fat content and therefore condition of fish. The higher the water content, the lower the condition. The water content of fish from the two facilities was more similar than total length. When differences did occur, larger fish from Wolf Lake and those raised in net pens had lower water content (i.e., were in better condition; Table 4).

Table 1.-Number of Chinook salmon stocked (recoverable tags) by location (river/tributary) from Wolf Lake and Platte hatcheries (treatment), 2001 to 2006.

| Year | Swan River |  | Medusa Creek |  | Little Manistee River |  | St. Joseph River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wolf Lake | Platte | Wolf Lake | Platte | Wolf Lake | Platte | Wolf Lake | Platte |
| 2001 | 102,749 | 84,703 | 94,462 | 75,348 | 98,978 | 79,719 | 71,029 | 67,085 |
| 2002 | 84,027 | 95,473 | 96,524 | 100,424 | 96,424 | 91,137 | 73,562 | 68,496 |
| 2003 | 100,698 | 94,038 | 98,471 | 98,768 | 98,057 | 94,284 | 70,943 | 71,201 |
| 2004 | 86,606 | 88,705 | 84,849 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 97,326 | 86,790 | 93,879 | 68,513 | 74,009 |
| 2005 | 89,314 | 95,703 | 88,414 | 97,420 | 80,814 | 97,330 | 64,231 | 73,118 |
| 2006 | 99,040 | 101,107 | 99,822 | 102,661 | 100,296 | 100,579 | 60,178 | 61,467 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Medusa Creek plant of fish from the Wolf Lake Hatchery was negatively affected by a pump shut-down at the cement plant shortly after being stocked.

Table 2.-Number of Chinook salmon heads returned and tags recovered annually, 2001 to 2007.

| Year | Total heads returned | Number of heads with tags | Number and hatchery origin of tags |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Platte | Wolf Lake |
| 2001 | 416 | 306 | 0 | 2 |
| 2002 | 894 | 671 | 46 | 142 |
| 2003 | 1,793 | 1,433 | 325 | 551 |
| 2004 | 1,885 | 1,530 | 593 | 584 |
| 2005 | 1,129 | 909 | 481 | 386 |
| 2006 | 1,158 | 904 | 521 | 376 |
| 2007 | 701 | 558 | 349 | 208 |
| Total | 7,976 | 6,311 | 2,315 | 2,249 |

Table 3.-Average length (mm) $\pm$ standard deviation of Chinook salmon stocked annually by location (river/tributary) from Wolf Lake and Platte hatcheries, 2001 to 2006. ND indicates "no data."

| Year | Swan River |  | Medusa Creek (net pen) |  | Little Manistee River |  | St. Joseph River (net pen) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wolf Lake | Platte | Wolf Lake | Platte | Wolf Lake | Platte | Wolf Lake | Platte |
| 2001 | $96 \pm 9$ | $78 \pm 5$ | $111 \pm 10$ | $105 \pm 8$ | 96 $\pm 9$ | $74 \pm 6$ | ND | $78 \pm 6$ |
| 2002 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 2003 | $84 \pm 6$ | ND | $108 \pm 10$ | $102 \pm 7$ | $92 \pm 8$ | ND | $111 \pm 9$ | $98 \pm 7$ |
| 2004 | $90 \pm 9$ | $79 \pm 4$ | ND | ND | $92 \pm 9$ | $77 \pm 4$ | $93 \pm 8$ | $73 \pm 4$ |
| 2005 | $91 \pm 8$ | $78 \pm 5$ | $110 \pm 12$ | $100 \pm 7$ | $92 \pm 7$ | $78 \pm 5$ | $112 \pm 7$ | $84 \pm 5$ |
| 2006 | $100 \pm 9$ | $80 \pm 7$ | $104 \pm 11$ | $93 \pm 8$ | $94 \pm 9$ | $80 \pm 9$ | $100 \pm 9$ | $79 \pm 7$ |
| Average | $93 \pm 10$ | $79 \pm 5$ | $108 \pm 11$ | $96 \pm 9$ | $93 \pm 8$ | $77 \pm 6$ | $105 \pm 11$ | $83 \pm 10$ |

Table 4.-Average percent water of Chinook salmon stocked annually by location (river/tributary) from Wolf Lake and Platte hatcheries, 2001 to 2006. ND indicates "no data."

| Year | Swan River |  | Medusa Creek |  | Little Manistee River |  | St. Joseph River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wolf Lake | Platte | Wolf Lake | Platte | Wolf Lake | Platte | Wolf Lake | Platte |
| 2001 | 77.0 | 77.1 | 77.6 | 76.5 | 76.6 | 80.3 | ND | 79.6 |
| 2002 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 2003 | 79.6 | ND | 76.0 | 77.1 | 76.9 | ND | 74.5 | 74.0 |
| 2004 | 77.9 | 79.4 | ND | ND | 79.8 | 79.6 | 76.5 | 78.6 |
| 2005 | 77.6 | 78.4 | 77.6 | 79.1 | 77.4 | 78.2 | 77.0 | 80.1 |
| 2006 | 76.6 | 78.8 | 77.5 | 77.8 | 76.9 | 79.4 | 77.6 | 78.4 |
| Average | 77.7 | 78.4 | 77.0 | 77.4 | 77.4 | 79.4 | 75.9 | 77.9 |

